arXiv:1406.0348v1 [math.DG] 2 Jun 2014

SOME REMARKS ON A MINKOWSKI SPACE (R^n, F)

TRAN QUOC BINH

Abstract. We consider a complete, totally umbilical hypersurface M of Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ induced by a Minkowski space (R^n, F). Under certain conditions we prove that M is isometric to a "round" hypersphere of the $(n+1)-$dimensional Euclidean space. We also prove that the Minkowski norm F must be arised from an inner product if there exist a non-zero vector field, which is parallel according to Levi-Civita connection of the metric tensor $\hat{g}$.

1. Introduction

Let (N, F) be an n- dimensional Finsler space, then for any $x \in N$ the restriction F_x of F to the tangent space T_xN is a Minkowski norm. That is, $F_x : T_xN \to R$ is non-negative, smooth function on $T_xN - \{0\}$, positive homogenous of degree one and strongly convex. The last condition means that the functions $g_{ij}(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{F^2(x,y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ give a positive definite quadratic form on $T_xN - \{0\}$, that is $g := g_{ij}(x, y)dy^i dy^j$ is a Riemannian metric on $T_xN - \{0\}$.

If for any $x \in N$, $g_{ij}(x, y)$ doesn't depend on y, then $g = g_{ij}(x, y)dy^i dy^j$ is an inner product on T_xN, and $G := g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i dx^j$ is a Riemannian metric on N. Of course, any Riemannian space is also Finslerian, but the inverse is not true. It is easy to see that $G := g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i dx^j$ is Riemannian metric on N iff the Cartan torsion tensor $C_{ijk} := \frac{F}{2}\frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}$ vanishes.

When does the Minkowski norm F_x arise from an inner product on T_xN? Or equivalently when does the Finslerian metric function $F(x, y)$ on TN determine a Riemannian metric $G := g_{ij}(x, y)dx^i dx^j$? This is a very intersting and important question. Using the maximum principles, the famous Deicke's theorem says that this occurs if the mean Cartan torsion $A_k := g^{ij}C_{ijk}$ vanishes. Since for any $x \in N$, the tangent space T_xN is an $n-$dimensional vector space, so for simplicity in this paper we consider the $n-$dimensional Euclidean space and a Minkowski norm F on it. So, let (R^n, F) be a Minkowski space and $g_{ij}(y) := (\frac{1}{2}F^2(y))_{y^i y^j}$. Then $\hat{g} := g_{ij}(y)dy^i \otimes dy^j$ defines a Riemannian metric on $\hat{R}^n := R^n - \{0\}$ and $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ becomes

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C60; Secondary 53C20 .

Key words:Finsler space; Minkowski space; Mean curvature; Totally umbilical hypersurface.

a Riemannian space. It is well known that when F is induced by an inner product on R^n, $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ is flat (that is, the curvature tensor vanishes). Further more, (1) any proper totally umbilical hypersurface is a "round" hypersphere and (2) any constant vector field is parallel according to a canonical Levi-civita connection induced by $\hat{g}$.

In the present paper, concerning a proper totally umbilical hypersurface M of a Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ we try to find the answer for the following two questions: 1. Under which conditions is M isometric to a "round" sphere. 2. If there exists a non-zero constant, and parallel vector field according to $\hat{g}$, then under which conditions is the Minkowski norm F arised from an Euclidean inner product? Our main results are:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a proper totally umbilical hypersurface of a Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ induced by a Minkowski space (R^n, F), and let M be no level set $S(r) := \{y|F(y) = r\}$, $r > 0$. Suppose that the normal part of $R(X,Y)Z$, $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ vanishes,i.e. $(R(X,Y)Z)^{\perp} = 0$. Then M must be isometric to a "round" hypersphere of the $(n+1)-$dimensional Euclidean space.*

Theorem 2. *Let $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ be a Riemannian space induced by a Minkowski space (R^n, F). If $n \geq 3$, and F is absolutely homogeneous, then F is arised from an inner product if and only if there exists a non-zero constant vector field b which is parallel according to the Levi-civita connection $\hat{\nabla}$ of $\hat{g}$, that is if $\hat{\nabla}_X b = 0$.*

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some facts of the Minkowski space (R^n, F). For more details see [1]. We use the Einstein convention. That is, repeated indexes with one upper and one lower indexes denote summation over their range throughout this paper.

Let (R^n, F) be a Minkowski space, and let $(y^1, y^2, ..., y^n)$ denote a canonical global coordinate system on R^n. Then at every pont $y \in T_y R^n$ we have $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}\}$ and $\{dy^i\}$ which are the bases of the tangent space $T_y R^n$ and the cotangent space $T_y^* R^n$, respectively. The Minkowski norm on R^n is a continuous function $F : R^n \to R^+$ which has the ptoperties:

(1) F is smooth on $\hat{R}^n := R^n - \{0\}$
(2) $F(y) > 0$ for all vector $y \in \hat{R}^n$
(3) positive homogenous, i.e., $F(\lambda y) = \lambda F(y)$ for all $y \in R^n$ and all $\lambda > 0$, and

(4) $F$is strongly convex, i.e., the quantities $g_{ij}(y) := \frac{1}{2}\frac{F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$ form a positive definite matrix. Thus in this case $\hat{g}(y) := g_{ij}(y)dy^i dy^j$ defines a metric tensor for $\hat{R}^n$

Now we recall some important facts related to the Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ ([1]): Let $\hat{\nabla}$ and $\hat{\gamma}^i_{jk}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection and the Christoffel symbols of the second kind of the metric tensor $\hat{g}$. Then

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} := \hat{\gamma}^i_{jk}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\hat{\gamma}^i_{jk} = \frac{g^{is}}{2}(\frac{\partial g_{sj}}{\partial y^k} + \frac{\partial g_{sk}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial y^s}). \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\hat{\gamma}^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{F}C^i_{jk}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$C^i_{jk}(x,y) := g^{ks}C_{ijs}(x,y)$$

comes from the Cartan torsion

$$C_{ijk}(x,y) := \frac{F}{4}\frac{\partial^3 F^2(x,y)}{\partial y^i \partial y^j \partial y^k}$$

The curvature tensor of $\hat{\nabla}$ is

$$\hat{R}(U,V)W := (\hat{\nabla}_U\hat{\nabla}_V - \hat{\nabla}_V\hat{\nabla}_U - \hat{\nabla}_{[U,V]})W,\ U,V,W \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

In a local coordinate system,

$$\hat{R}(U,V)W = W^j U^k V^l \hat{R}^i_{jjkl}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where in our case, it can be shown that

$$\hat{R}^i_{jkl} = \frac{1}{F^2}(C^s_{jk}C^i_{sl} - C^s_{jl}C^i_{sk}).$$

For any two linearly independent tangent vectors U, V in $T_y\hat{R}^n$ the sectional curvature corresponding to the 2-plane defined by U and V is given by

$$\hat{K}(U,V) := \frac{\hat{g}(\hat{R}(V,U)V,V)}{\hat{g}(U,U)\hat{g}(V,V) - (\hat{g}(U,V))^2}.$$

If the sectional curvature K does not depend on the choice of the 2-plane, then we speak of constant curvature or the space is said to be a space form.

In the case of a level set $S(r) := \{y \in R^n : F(y) = r > 0\}$, we have

Theorem 3. *([1],Prop. 14.6.1, p. 401) The sectional curvature $K(U,V)$ of a level hypersurface $S(r)$ is related to the sectional curvature $\hat{K}(U,V)$ of $(\hat{R}^n,\hat{g})$ by $K(U,V)=\hat{K}(U,V)+\frac{1}{r^2}$*
and the following statemants are equivalent:
(a) The Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n,\hat{g})$ is flat.
(b) For any $r>0$, the level hypersurface $S(r)$ has constant sectional curvature $\frac{1}{r^2}$
(c) For some $r_0>0$, the level hypersurface $S(r_0)$ has constant sectional curvature $\frac{1}{r_0^2}$

In the proof of our results we will also need the following important theorems.

Theorem 4. *([1], Theorem 14.9.2 (Brickell-Theorem), p.415) Let (R^n,F) be a Minkowski space. If $n\geq 3$, F is absolutely homogeneous of degree 1 and the Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n,\hat{g})$ is flat, then F must be the norm of an inner product on R^n.*

From Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 we have

Corollary 1. *([1]) If $n\geq 3$, F is absolutely homogeneous of degree 1 and for some $r>0$, $(S(r),\hat{g})$ has constant sectional curvature $K=\frac{1}{r^2}$, then the Minkowski norm is induced by an inner product.*

Theorem 5. *(Obata's Theorem, [10]) In order for a complete Riemannian manifold of dimesion $n\geq 2$ to admit a non-constant function φ with $\nabla_X d\varphi + c^2\varphi X=0$ for any vector filed X, it is necessary and sufficient that the manifold be isometric with a sphere $S^n(c)$ of radius $\frac{1}{c}$ in the $(n+1)$-Euclidean space.*

Obata's theorem is very useful to get important results in geometry of submanifolds, firstly based on the works of Bang-Yen Chen ([2]) and its version for Finsler geometry is given by B. Badabad ([3]). We can find the studies of submanifolds of Minkowski spaces in work of X. Cheng and J. Yan ([4]), and the studies of umbilical hypersurfaces of Minkowski spaces in the sense of Finsler geometry can be found in the paper of J. Li ([9]).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

For the totally umbilical hypesurface M of Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n,\hat{g})$ the Gauss equation is

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y=\nabla_X Y+h(X,Y),$$

where h is the second fundamental form. In our case (M,g) is a proper totally umbilical hypersurface. So we have

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y=\nabla_X Y+Hg(X,Y)\nu,$$

where H and ν denote the mean curvature and the unit normal vector field of the hypersurface M and $H \neq 0$. We choose the unit normal vector ν in such a way that the mean curvatute H takes positive value somewhere on M. From the identity $g_{ij}(y)y^iy^j = F^2(y)$ it follows that $\frac{y}{F(y)}$ is the unit normal vector field of the level hypersurface $S(r)$. Since $F^2(y)$ is positive homogenous of degree 2, the functions $g_{ij}(y)$ are positive homogenous of degree 1, from (2.2) we have

$$\hat{\gamma}^k_{ij}(y)y^j = C^k_{ij}(y)y^j = 0,$$

from which we obtain

$$\hat{\nabla}_X y = X, \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(\hat{R}^n).$$

Let us define a function $f(y) := \hat{g}(y, \nu)$ on M. Since M is not a level set, the function f is non-constant. For, if $f(y)$ is constant, then for any vector field X on M, we have
$0 = X(\hat{g}(y, \nu)) = \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_X y, \nu) + \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_X \nu, y) = \hat{g}(X, \nu) + \hat{g}(y, -HX) = -H\hat{g}(y, X)$,
from which $X(F^2(y)) = X(\hat{g}(y, y)) = 2\hat{g}(\nabla_X y, y) = \hat{g}(X, y) = 0$. This means $F(y) = constans$. Its contradiction to the fact that M is not a level set.
Now we compute the gradient, ∇f of a function f in M. We have

$$X(f) = X\hat{g}(y, \nu) = \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_X y, \nu) + g(y, \hat{\nabla}_Y \nu)$$

$$= \hat{g}(X, \nu) + \hat{g}(y, -HX) = -H\hat{g}(y, X) = -H\hat{g}(y^T, X) = -Hg(y^T, X),$$

where $y^T := y - \hat{g}(y, \nu)\nu$ is the tangential part to M of y. From $X(f) = g(X, \nabla f)$, it follows

$$\nabla f = -Hy^\top.$$

Now, we prove that under our assumptions the mean curvature H is constant. Recall the Ricci equation from the geometry of submanifold

$$(\hat{R}(X, Y)Z)^\perp = (\nabla h)(X, Y, Z) - (\nabla h)(Y, X, Z),$$

where

$$(\nabla h)(Y, X, Z) := \nabla^\perp_X h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

At any $y \in M$ we can choose a local normal coordinate system such that $\nabla_X Y(y) = \nabla_Y X(y) = 0$. Then we obtain

$$\nabla^\perp_Y H\hat{g}(X, Z)\nu = \nabla^\perp_X H\hat{g}(Y, Z)\nu.$$

Choose the unit vector fields X, Y, Z such that $X = Z$ and orthogonal to Y. Then we have $\nabla^\perp_Y H\nu = 0$, from which it follows that $Y(H) = 0$, for any tangent vector $Y \in T_yM$. This means the mean curvature H is constant.
Now,

$$\nabla_X \nabla f = (\hat{\nabla}_X \nabla f)^\top = -H(\hat{\nabla}_X y^\top)^\top =$$

$$= -H\hat{\nabla}_X(y - \hat{g}(y, \nu)\nu) = -H\{X + \hat{g}(y, \nu)HX\} = -H^2(\frac{1}{H} + f(y))X.$$

Let now $\tilde{f}(y) := f(y) + \frac{1}{H}$. Then we have

$$\nabla_X \nabla \tilde{f} + H^2 \tilde{f} X = 0.$$

From the theorem of Obata it follows that the totally umbilical hypersurface M is isometric to a round sphere $S^n(c)$ of radius $\frac{1}{H}$ in the $(n+1)$-Euclidean space.□

Remark 1. *We know that the level hypersphere $S^n(r)$ is totally umbilical with unit normal vector field $\frac{y}{r}$ at any $y \in S^n(r)$. It is easy to show that the converse is also true. For, if $\nu = \frac{y}{F(y)}$ is a unit normal vector field at a point y of a totally umbilical hypersurface M, then for any tangent vector field X, we have*

$$0 = X\hat{g}(\nu, \nu) = X\hat{g}(\frac{y}{F(y)}, \frac{y}{F(y)}) = 2\hat{g}(X(\frac{1}{F})y + \frac{y}{F(y)}X, y) = 2X(\frac{1}{F})F^2(y).$$

Since M is a submanifold of $\hat{R}^n$, $F(y) > 0$ for any $y \in M$, thus $X(\frac{1}{F}) = 0$, and we obtain $F(y) = r = $ constant, M is nothing but the level set $S(r)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

We suppose that b is a non-constant vector filed, which is parallel according to the Levi-Civita connection $\hat{\nabla}$ of a Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ i. e. $\hat{\nabla} b = 0$. We consider the level set $S(1) := \{y \in \hat{R}^n : F(y) = 1\}$, and we show that $S(1)$ is a hypersurface of $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ whose sectional curvature is constant 1. Then, by Theorem 3. the Riemannian space $(\hat{R}^n, \hat{g})$ is flat and then according to Theorem 4. F must be a norm induced by an inner product on R^n.
Let us define $f(y) := \hat{g}(y, b)$. For any tangent vector field X on $S(1)$ we have

$$X(f) = X\hat{g}(y, b) = \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_X y, b) - \hat{g}(\hat{\nabla}_X b) =$$

$$= \hat{g}(X, b) = \hat{g}(X, b^\top).$$

Thus, we obtain the gardient of the function f on $S(1)$,

$$\nabla f = b^\top = b - \hat{g}(b, y)y.$$

Now,

$$\nabla_X \nabla f = (\hat{\nabla}_Y \nabla f)^\top = (\hat{\nabla}_Y (b - \hat{g}(b, y)y))^\top =$$

$$= \{-X\hat{g}(b, y)y - \hat{g}(b, y)\hat{\nabla}_Y y\}^\top = -f(y)X.$$

Since b is constant vector field its easy to see that $f(y)$ is non-constant function on $S(1)$. From the Obata's theorem (Theorem 5), it follows that $S(1)$ is isometric to the round sphere of radius 1, and thus its sectional curvature is also constant 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

References

[1] D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen, An introduction to Riemannian-Finsler geometry, GTM 200, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
[2] B. Y. Chen, Extrinsic spheres in Kaehler manifolds, Michigan Math. J., 23 (1976), 327-330.
[3] B. Bidabad, Obata theorem on compact Finsler spaces, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 17 (2012), no. 2, 15.
[4] X. Cheng and J. Yan, Some properties of submanifolds in a Minkowski space, Journal of Mathematics, 20(1) (2000),17-22.
[5] F. Brickell, A new proof of Deicke's theorem on homogeneous functions, Proc. AMS 16 (1965), 190-191.
[6] F. Brickell, A theorem on homogeneous functions, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967), 325-329.
[7] A Kawaguchi, On the theory of non-linear connection II. Theory of Minkowski spaces and of non-linear connections in a Finsler space, Tensor,N.S. 6 (1965), 165-199.
[8] S. Kikuchi, Theory of Minkowski space and of non-linear connections in Finsler space, Tensor, N.S. 6 (1962), 47-60.
[9] J. Li, Umbilical hypersurfaces of Minkowski spaces, Math. Commun. 17(2012), 63-70.
[10] M. Obata , Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric with a sphere, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 14, No. 3 (1962), 333-340.
[11] Z. Shen, Lectures on Finsler geometry, World Sci., 2001, Singapore.

(T.Q. Binh) Institute of mathematics, University of Debrecen, H-4010 Debrecen, P.O. Box 12, Hungary

E-mail address: binh@science.unideb.hu